


Cold hardiness of grapevine buds at the Western Colorado Research Center - Rogers Mesa near Hotchkiss, Colorado, 2009/10.

Horst Caspari & Amy Montano, Colorado State University, Western Colorado Research Center, 3168 B ½ Road, Grand Junction, CO 81503

Dormant buds were collected from 6-year old, own-rooted vines growing at the Western Colorado Research Center - Rogers Mesa. Vines are planted at a vine x row spacing of 4-6' x 8', spur pruned on bilateral cordon, and trained to a VSP. Buds were taken from shoots of moderate vigor that had no obvious sign of damage. Shoots were cut so as to leave a 4-bud spur, and six buds were used from each shoot (i.e. bud position 5 to 10). Shoots were cut in the field into single-node cuttings. For each temperature treatment, twenty buds were selected at random, placed in plastic bags, and then placed into a programmable freezer. The starting temperature for the freezing program was altered depending on the outside temperature at the time of bud collection. For example, on 7 October 2009 the outside temperature at the time of bud collection was ~40 °F, so the freezing program was initiated at a freezer temperature of 40 °F. The freezer was programmed to reduce the temperature by 5 °F over a 30-minute interval, and then hold at that temperature for 30 minutes. This cycle was repeated until the threshold temperature for a sample was reached. At the end of the holding period for that threshold temperature one bag containing twenty buds was removed, temperature decreased by 5 °F over 30 minutes and held for 30 minutes, etc. After removal from the freezer, buds were left at room temperature for a minimum of 24 hours and then cut open to evaluate the tissue. Buds showing vibrant green tissue were judged to be viable (left photo below) whereas buds showing brown tissue were judged to be dead (right photo).



Photos: Sectioned grape buds showing the compound nature of the 'latent bud'. All buds are alive in the left photo while they are dead in the right photo (P – Primary bud; S – Secondary bud; T – Tertiary bud).

Cold hardiness is influenced by many different factors, including variety, crop load, harvest time, post-harvest conditions, vineyard weather conditions, and the duration of a cold event. With our freezing protocol buds are exposed to a certain minimum temperature for a period of 30 minutes. Shorter or longer periods at this minimum temperature may result in lower or higher bud damage. For example, Table 1 shows that the percentage of dead primary buds for the varieties Chardonnay and Syrah increases as exposure time to -10 °F is extended from 30 to 90 and 180 minutes.

Table 1: Effect of the duration of a cold event (at -10 °F) on percentage of dead **primary** buds¹

Variety	Date	Time at -10 °F (min)		
		30	90	180
Chardonnay	5 Dec 2006	10	30	35
Syrah	5 Dec 2006	5	77	100

¹ Note that the percentage damage is for the primary bud only. The damage is somewhat less when secondary and tertiary buds are included as they are more cold hardy than the primary bud.

There is a genetically determined limit to cold hardiness (e.g. Concord is more hardy than Riesling, which is more hardy than Chardonnay). However, while this is true for mid-winter hardiness, the ranking might be different at the start or end of the dormant season. Some varieties will acclimate earlier in fall and will be able to withstand colder temperatures earlier in the dormant season than varieties that have otherwise more mid-winter hardiness. Likewise, early bud-breaking varieties tend to lose their hardiness earlier in spring and might be damaged at warmer temperatures than late-breaking varieties, irrespective of their mid-winter hardiness. Also, cultural practices can have a profound influence if the genetic potential of a particular variety is achieved.

In very general terms, warm temperatures tend to reduce bud hardiness while cold temperatures tend to induce more hardiness (within limits). Hence, the weather conditions at a site will influence the ability of buds to withstand cold temperature, and the values presented in Table 1 are in part affected by the temperature conditions at our research vineyard (Fig. 1). Values from other sites might differ depending on local conditions, and values for varieties grown at our research vineyard at the Orchard Mesa Research Center near Grand Junction, Colorado can be found at:

<http://www.colostate.edu/programs/wcrc/pubs/viticulture/coldhardiness09.pdf>

The data presented here is for information only, and growers should make their own assessment. Information on how to determine bud injury can be found at:

<http://www.colostate.edu/programs/wcrc/pubs/viticulture/EvaluatingBudDamage.pdf>

Cold hardiness information for a large number of varieties grown in Washington State, a region with a similar climate to that of Colorado, can be found at WSU's viticulture page:

<http://winegrapes.wsu.edu/frigid.html>

Table 2: Percentage of dead **primary** buds as affected by temperature¹. Most recent updates highlighted in red.

Variety	Date	20°F	15°F	10°F	5°F	0°F	-5°F	-10°F	-15°F
Chambourcin	29 Oct 2009			0	0	10			
Chambourcin	17 Nov 2009					5	5	85	
Chambourcin	1 Dec 2009						0	10	65
Chambourcin	15 Dec 2009						55	75	95
Chambourcin	5 Jan 2010						15	30	67
Chambourcin	14 Jan 2010						20	40	45
Chambourcin	26 Jan 2010						40	45	85
Chambourcin	9 Feb 2010						60	70	100
Chambourcin	25 Feb 2010						75	89	95
Chambourcin	18 Mar 2010				65	75	45		
Chambourcin	15 Apr 2010	35	50	40	75				

Variety	Date	20°F	15°F	10°F	5°F	0°F	-5°F	-10°F	-15°F
Dornfelder	14 Jan 2010	7 % dead primary on field samples							
Dornfelder	25 Feb 2010	17 % dead primary on field samples							
Noiret	25 Feb 2010	0 % dead primary on field samples							
Pinot Noir	6 Oct 2009	0	0	75					
Pinot Noir	15 Dec 2009	0 % dead primary on field samples (after -6.7 F on 10 Dec 2009)							
Pinot Noir	9 Feb 2010	2 % dead primary on field samples							
Pinot Noir	25 Feb 2010	6 % dead primary on field samples							
Riesling	25 Feb 2010	7 % dead primary on field samples							
Rkatsiteli	6 Oct 2009	0	10	100					
Rkatsiteli	29 Oct 2009			0	0	15			
Rkatsiteli	17 Nov 2009					0	20	90	
Rkatsiteli	1 Dec 2009						0	20	60
Rkatsiteli	15 Dec 2009						10	35	100
Rkatsiteli	5 Jan 2010						25	30	100
Rkatsiteli	14 Jan 2010						30	20	80
Rkatsiteli	26 Jan 2010						20	45	95
Rkatsiteli	9 Feb 2010						25	45	100
Rkatsiteli	25 Feb 2010						15	25	90
Rkatsiteli	18 Mar 2010				35	45	50		
Rkatsiteli	15 Apr 2010	20	25	10	75				
Traminette	25 Feb 2010	2 % dead primary on field samples							

¹ Note that the percentage damage is for the primary bud only. The damage is somewhat less when secondary and tertiary buds are included as they are more cold hardy than the primary bud.

Last update: 19 Apr 2010




Fig. 1: Daily maximum and minimum temperatures recorded at the Western Colorado Research Center – Rogers Mesa near Hotchkiss, Colorado for 2008/09 & 2009/10, and critical temperatures for a 10 % bud kill (LT₁₀) estimated from Table 2. The dashed line represents a predicted value for LT₁₀ based on a curve fitted to previous years' data. Temperature data for various locations within the Grand Valley can be found at www.caveonline.org/weatherstations/cave-weather-station-network. Meteorological data from other locations throughout Colorado may also be available from the COlorado AGricultural Meteorological nETwork - [COAGMET](#).