

EVALUATION OF FUNGICIDES APPLIED AT PLANTING FOR CONTROL OF RHIZOCTONIA ON POTATO, 2004

- Researchers:** Richard T. Zink and Andrew Houser, Colorado State University, SLVRC
- Location:** San Luis Valley Research Center, Center, CO
- Cultivar:** Russet Norkotah selection 8, cut seed, 2-4 oz.
- Objective:** To evaluate the efficacy of various In-furrow and seed treatments in controlling disease.
- Application:** In-Furrow treatments were applied using an R & D CO₂ charged backpack sprayer at 35 PSI, with one XR 8002VS nozzle, at 10 gallons/acre as a directed in-furrow application. On seed treatments were applied directly to fresh cut seed and planted within twenty-four hours.
- Treatments:**
1. Control, no treatment
 2. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)
 3. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)
Amistar 80WG @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (In-furrow)
 4. Moncoat MZ 7.5DP @ 56.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)
 5. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)
Quadris 2.08SC @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (In-furrow)
 6. Mancozeb 80WG @ 2800.0 g.ai./Ha (On seed)
Amistar 80WG @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (In-furrow)
 7. Mancozeb 80WG @ 2800.0 g.ai./Ha (On-seed)
Headline 2.09SC @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (In-furrow)
- Planted:** May 7, 2004
- Plot Design:** Randomized complete block
- Plot Size:** 2 - 40 foot rows per treatment per replication
- Plant Spacing:** 12 inches
- Row Spacing:** 34 inches
- Replications:** Four
- Irrigation:** Solid set sprinkler, rate based on ET
- Fertilizer:** 80N-60P-40K-25S-2.5Zn, preplant, 20N through sprinkler after tuber set
- Herbicide:** Sencor, 0.66 lb./A + Dual Magnum, 1.5 pt./A + Spartan, 2.66 oz./A
- Insecticide:** None
- Fungicide:** None
- Vine Killer:** Mechanically removed on September 2, 2004
- Harvested:** September 9 & 10, 2004

DATA

- Stand:** 2-40 foot rows/treatment/replication, counts taken 48 days after planting.
- Seed piece decay:** Soft-rot and dry-rot combined rated 0-100, where 0 = no decay and 100 = complete decay; 10 seed pieces/treatment/replication.
- Stem canker:** Percent stems infected; 10 plants/treatment/replication.
- Blackleg:** Percent stems infected; 10 plants/treatment/replication.
- Plant vigor:** Rated 1-5, where 1 = poor and 5 = good; 10 plants/treatment/replication.
- Stems:** Average number of stems per plant; 10 plants/treatment/replication.
- Tuber set:** Average number of tubers per plant at full set; 10 plants/treatment/replication.
- Yield:** 2-35 foot rows per treatment per replication, total yield expressed in cwt/A.
- Grade:** By hand, percent tubers by weight in pounds and tuber no. < 4 oz., 4-6 oz., 6-10 oz., > 10 oz., US #2's, and culls.
- Silver scurf:** Mean percent of the affected tuber surface area, 10 8-10 oz. tubers per treatment per replication, readings taken at 56 and 110 days after harvest.
- Black scurf severity index:** Mean percent of the affected tuber surface area, 10 8-10 oz. tubers per treatment per replication multiplied by the severity of the sclerotia, where 1= small sclerotia and 3 = large sclerotia.

Table 1. Effects of seed treatments on plant development and incidence of disease in the cultivar Russet Norkotah Selection 8, San Luis Valley, Colorado, 2004.

Treatment/Rate ^a	Stand ^b	Vigor ^c	Stems ^d	%Stems with Rhizoctonia ^e	Stolons ^f	%Stolons with Rhizoctonia ^g	Seed piece decay ^h	No. tubers per plant ⁱ	Silver scurf ^j	Black scurf severity index ^k
1. Control, no treatment	90.9 c	3.5 bc	4.0 b	21.2	22.3 c	5.4	61.9 ab	28.5	0.0	9.5
2. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)	88.1 c	3.1 c	3.8 b	11.6	21.6 c	1.8	62.9 a	28.9	0.0	6.4
3. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed) Amistar 80WG @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	93.8 bc	4.0 ab	4.5 ab	5.8	25.4 bc	1.3	40.6 abc	34.5	0.0	1.4
4. Moncoat MZ 7.5DP @ 56.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)	99.1 ab	4.4 a	4.6 ab	17.6	30.0 ab	6.9	10.6 d	35.6	0.0	0.9
5. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed) Quadris 2.08SC @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	93.1 c	4.3 a	4.5 ab	18.5	28.0 ab	3.7	32.5 cd	32.0	0.0	2.0
6. Mancozeb 80WG @ 2800.0 g.ai./Ha (On seed) Amistar 80WG @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	99.1 ab	4.4 a	5.3 a	9.3	30.8 a	2.5	34.5 bcd	33.8	0.0	3.3
7. Mancozeb 80WG @ 2800.0 g.ai./Ha (On-seed) Headline 2.09SC @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	100.6 a	4.4 a	5.3 a	7.5	31.6 a	2.5	26.8 cd	36.3	0.0	7.5
LSD(P=0.05)	5.71	0.57	0.97	NS	5.41	NS	27.43	NS	NS	NS

^a All treatments were applied according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

^b Percentage of plants emerged 48 days after planting; four replications.

^c Mean percent vigor, where 1 = poor, 5 = good; 10 plants/treatment/replication.

^d Mean number of stems per seed piece 62 days after planting; 10 plants/treatment/replication.

^e Mean percent stems with Rhizoctonia canker 62 days after planting; 10 plants/treatment/replication.

^f Mean number of stolons per seed piece 62 days after planting; 10 plants/treatment/replication.

^g Mean percent stolons with Rhizoctonia canker 62 days after planting; 10 plants/treatment/replication.

^h Mean percent incidence of disease combined soft-rot and dry-rot 62 days after planting; rated 0-100, where 0 = no decay, 100 = complete decay; 10 seed pieces/treatment/replication.

ⁱ Mean number of tubers per plant 62 days after planting; 10 plants/treatment/replication.

^j Mean percent of the affected tuber surface area, 10 8-10 oz. tubers per treatment per replication, readings taken at 56 and 110 days after harvest.

^k Mean percent of the affected tuber surface area, 10 8-10 oz. tubers per treatment per replication multiplied by the severity of the sclerotia, where 1 = small sclerotia and 3 = large sclerotia.

Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P=0.05.

Table 2. Effects of seed treatments on tuber yield and quality in the cultivar Russet Norkotah Selection 8, San Luis Valley, Colorado, 2004.

Treatment/Rate	Percent ^a												Cwt/A ^b
	< 4 oz.	No.	4-6 oz.	No.	6-10 oz.	No.	>10 oz.	No.	US #2s	No.	Culls	No.	
1. Control, no treatment	4.7	15.6	13.2 d	22.1 c	35.0	34.9	45.5 a	25.9 a	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.0	322.9 bc
2. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)	4.9	16.0	13.5 cd	22.1 c	36.9	36.1	40.6 ab	22.5 abc	1.9	1.0	2.2	2.3	307.4 c
3. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed) + Amistar 80WG @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	3.6	12.3	16.9 bc	26.8 b	36.3	35.3	40.3 ab	23.3 ab	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.8	393.3 a
4. Moncoat MZ 7.5DP @ 56.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed)	4.6	13.1	18.1 b	27.3 b	45.0	40.7	30.1 c	17.3 cd	0.7	0.4	1.5	1.1	382.9 ab
5. Maxim 4FS @ 1.25 g.ai./100kg seed (On seed) + Quadris 2.08SC @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	4.2	14.6	16.4 bcd	26.0 bc	35.2	33.7	41.5 a	24.0 ab	0.9	0.6	1.6	1.1	393.9 a
6. Mancozeb 80WG @ 2800.0 g.ai./Ha (On seed) + Amistar 80WG @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	4.6	12.8	19.3 b	28.8 ab	40.9	37.6	32.8 bc	18.8 bcd	0.9	0.7	1.5	1.3	421.1 a
7. Mancozeb 80WG @ 2800.0 g.ai./Ha (On-seed) + Headline 2.09SC @ 1.0 g.ai./100 rowM (IF)	4.8	13.6	23.0 a	32.3 a	41.2	36.6	27.9 c	15.4 d	1.2	0.8	1.9	1.3	412.9 a
LSD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	3.50	4.23	NS	NS	8.22	5.57	NS	NS	NS	NS	65.49

^a Based on tuber weight in pounds and tuber number, mean of four replications.

^b Total yield expressed as hundred weight per acre, 2-35 foot rows per treatment per replication, mean of four replications.

Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at P=0.05.